Interview Summary	09/525,365	, replicatives,	Forbes et al.
	Examiner Khanh Dan	g	Group Art Unit 2837
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Khanh Dang	(3)		
(2) Mr. Wright (Atty)			
Date of Interview Jun 27, 2001	_		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's re	oresentative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, br	ef descripti	on:
Claim(s) discussed: 1 Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	I nature of what was	agreed to i	f an agreement was reached, or
It is the applicants' argument that the use of fiberglass (confidence of the file a response which will be fully considered by the example of the file are sponse which will be fully considered by the example of the file of	iner		ited prior art. Applicants will
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the sul	ostance of 1	the interview (if box is checked).

mars Reser

KHANH DANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2100
KHANH DANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2837

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached